**Resolution to Oppose the Mandatory Drug Testing of Pregnant Women**

WHEREAS drug use and addiction is a public health issue, not a criminal one, and needs to be dealt with accordingly[[1]](#footnote-1),[[2]](#footnote-2), and

WHEREAS it has been documented that the practice of drug testing pregnant women does not decrease rates of drug use, but instead results in the avoidance of prenatal care[[3]](#footnote-3),[[4]](#footnote-4), and

WHEREAS the women who may be tested for drug use during pregnancy are the same women who especially need prenatal care because they often are also drinking alcohol, have little access to healthy foods, are smoking cigarettes, and are not taking or do not have access to prenatal vitamins[[5]](#footnote-5),[[6]](#footnote-6), and

WHEREAS negative birth outcomes are generally more a reflection of poverty-associated deprivations than drug exposure[[7]](#footnote-7), and

WHEREAS while the intention of the physician may be to improve the woman’s health through testing and reporting, it will most likely affect her eligibility for state assistance which in turn could gravely affect her health and access to care[[8]](#footnote-8), and

WHEREAS when medical professionals become involved in criminalizing their patients, the doctor-patient relationship is compromised[[9]](#footnote-9), and

WHEREAS the AAFP has policies supporting the autonomy of medical practice from legislative mandates that are not evidence-based (need footnote)

WHEREAS when women do test positive for drugs during pregnancy, they are often sent to jail or required to attend a rehabilitation program[[10]](#footnote-10), and

WHEREAS very few rehabilitation programs will accept pregnant patients because there is high liability associated[[11]](#footnote-11), and

WHEREAS for women who are sentenced to jail, the risk of miscarriage increases to one in three women[[12]](#footnote-12), and

WHEREAS for women sentenced to prison, in addition to the risk of miscarriage, many women who do give birth in prison are either shackled or chained for all or most of the delivery process[[13]](#footnote-13), now therefore be it,

RESOLVED that in the interest of both patients and providers, the \_\_\_\_\_ State Academy of Family Physicians opposes the creation of legislation that requires physicians to do mandatory drug testing on pregnant women and be it further,

RESOLVED that the \_\_AFP’s delegates to the AAFP Congress of Delegates will present a resolution for the AAFP to oppose the practice of mandatory drug testing women during pregnancy.
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